Law Offices

EX PARTE OR LATE FILED

KELLER AND HECKMAN

1001 G STREET, N.W. SUITE 500 WEST WASHINGTON, D.C. 20001 Telephone (202) 434-4100 Telex 49 95551 "KELMAN" Telecopier (202) 434-4646

BOULEVARD LOUIS SCHMIDT 87 B-1040 BRUSSELS TELEPHONE 32(2) 732 52 80 Telecopies 32(2) 732 53 92

Joseph E. Keller (1907-1994) Jerome H. Heckman William H. Borghesani, Jr. Malcolm D. Macarthur Mayme V. Black Terreroed D. Jones

MARC BEREJHA
JEAN-PHILIPPE MONTFORT*

ARCHIE L. HARRIS, JR.

T. PHILLIPS BECK
ARTHUR S. GARRETT III
RICK D, RHODES

LESLIE E. SILVERMAN FRANK C. TORRES III JOSEPH M. SANDRI, JR. ELIZABETH F. NEWBILL TANARA Y. DAVIS CABERT H. OS. TOTH JOAN C. SYLVAIN MARTHA E. MARRAPESE® BARRY J. OHLSON® DAVID B. BERRY
STEPHEN V. KENNEY
S. DEBORAH ROSEN*
DAVID R. JOY*
FREDERICK A. STEARNS*
TONYE RUSSELL EPPS*
THOMAS C. BERGER*
JOHN F. FOLEY*

*NOT ADMITTED IN D.C.

SCIENTIFIC STAFF DANIEL S. DIXLER, PH. D. CHARLES V. BREDER, PH. D. ROBERT A. MATHEWS, PH. D. JOHN P. MODDERMAN, PH. D. HOLLY HUTMIRE FOLEY JUSTIN C. POWELL, PH. D. JANETTE HOUK, PH. D. LESTER BORODINSKY, PH. D. THOMAS C. BROWN

TELECOMMUNICATIONS ENGINEER CHARLES F. TURNER

WRITER'S DIRECT DIAL NUMBER

December 8, 1994

(202) 434-4210

EX PARTE NOTICE

VIA HAND DELIVERY

Mr. William F. Caton Acting Secretary, Federal Communications Commission 1919 M Street, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20554 DOCKET FILE COPY ORIGINAL RECEIVED

'DEC = 1994

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION OFFICE OF SECRETARY

MM Docket No. 92-265

Program Access Proceeding

Dear Mr. Caton:

Enclosed please find correspondence by B.R. Phillips, III, Chief Executive Officer, National Rural Telecommunications Cooperative ("NRTC"), to Mary P. McManus, Legal Advisor to the Honorable Susan Ness, dated December 7, 1994, regarding NRTC's pending Petition for Reconsideration in the above-captioned proceeding. Copies of this letter also were delivered on this date (prior to release of the Sunshine Notice) to the following Commission officials:

The Honorable Reed E. Hundt

The Honorable James H. Quello

The Honorable Andrew C. Barrett

The Honorable Rachelle B. Chong

The Honorable Susan Ness

Marueen O'Connell

James R. Coltharp

Jill Luckett

No. of Copies rec'd OF O

Mr. William F. Caton December 8, 1994 Page 2

Two copies of the letter are enclosed herewith for inclusion in the public record of this proceeding. Should you have any questions concerning this matter, please contact the undersigned.

Sincerely,

ohn B. Richards

Enclosure

cc: The Honorable Reed E. Hundt

The Honorable James H. Quello The Honorable Andrew C. Barrett The Honorable Rachelle B. Chong

The Honorable Susan Ness

Marueen O'Connell James R. Coltharp

Jill Luckett



2201 Cooperative Way Suite 400 Herndon, Virginia 22071 Tel: (703) 787-0874 Fax: (703) 787-3355

RECEIVED

DEC - 8 1994

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION
OFFICE OF SECRETARY

December 7, 1994

Ms. Mary McManus, Legal Advisor Office of the Honorable Susan Ness Federal Communications Commission 1919 M Street, NW Washington, DC 20554

RE: EX PRESENTATION MM DOCKET 92-265 PROGRAM ACCESS

Dear Ms. McManus:

This letter is in response to a question you raised during our meeting on December 7, 1994, in connection with the National Rural Telecommunications Cooperative's (NRTC) pending petition for reconsideration in the above-captioned proceeding.

In our discussion, we noted that Section 628(c)(2)(C) of the 1992 Cable Act prohibits exclusive arrangements only in areas unserved by cable. You asked whether it was technologically feasible to limit distribution of programming via DBS to persons in areas unserved by cable.

Let me assure you that we have the technical capability to target distribution of particular programming services, to specific homes. This addressability is possible because every consumer's integrated receiver-decoder (IRD) has a unique individual identifier recognized by the DIRECTV authorization system.

In fact, NRTC and DIRECTV use the addressable capability in the DIRECTV's authorization system today to target the availability of programming services to individual homes. We also authorize reception of programming services and events within particular areas (i.e., zip codes and counties).

These capabilities are built into the DIRECTV authorization system and are required by certain programming agreements and existing legal requirements. For example, sports programming services and events require that particular channels or games be "blacked out" within particular zip codes. Additionally, distribution of network services provided under the compulsory copyright law applicable to satellite retransmissions is limited to particular consumers' homes based upon their access to cable and off-air broadcast reception.

Ms. McManus December 7, 1994 Page 2

NRTC stands ready and willing to use and this technology to implement any programming agreement consistent with the requirements of the Cable Act.

Sincerely,

B.R. Phillips, III

Chief Executive Officer

BRP/jk